Comparison of droperidol and ondansetron prophylactic effect on subarachnoid morphine-induced pruritus
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Abstract

Background and objectives: The prophylactic effect of ondansetron on subarachnoid morphine-induced pruritus is controversial, while evidence suggests that droperidol prevents pruritus. The aim of this study is to compare the effects of droperidol and ondansetron on subarachnoid morphine-induced pruritus.

Methods: 180 ASA I or II patients scheduled to undergo cesarean sections under subarachnoid anesthesia combined with morphine 0.2 mg were randomized to receive, after the child’s birth, metoclopramide 10 mg (Group I – control), droperidol 2.5 mg (Group II) or ondansetron 8 mg (Group III). Postoperatively, the patients were assessed for pruritus (absent, mild, moderate or severe) or other side effects by blinded investigators. Patients were also blinded to their group allocation. The tendency to present more severe forms of pruritus was compared between groups. NNT was also determined.

Results: Patients assigned to receive droperidol [Proportional odds ratio: 0.45 (95% confidence interval 0.23–0.88)] reported less pruritus than those who received metoclopramide. Ondansetron effect was similar to metoclopramide [Proportional odds ratio: 0.95 (95% confidence interval 0.49–1.83)]. The NNT for droperidol and ondansetron was 4.0 and 14.7, respectively.

Conclusions: Ondansetron does not inhibit subarachnoid morphine-induced pruritus.

© 2014 Sociedade Brasileira de Anestesiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

In a previous work, we compare the prophylactic effect of droperidol, alizapride, propofol, and promethazine on subarachnoid morphine-induced pruritus. Droperidol was the most effective agent; propofol and alizapride were less efficient; and promethazine, as other antihistamines, was ineffective. Kjelberg and Tramér, in a review study of pharmacological treatment of morphine-induced pruritus, concluded that droperidol was more effective than any other drug, other than morphine antagonists. But their review only included one study in which ondansetron was used to antagonize the alfentanil-induced pruritus in patients undergoing general surgery.

Evidence of ondansetron effectiveness are contradictory. Some studies have reported ondansetron effectiveness for treating or preventing pruritus. It has also been suggested that ondansetron reduces pruritus severity without reducing its incidence. On the other hand, other studies have reported the ineffectiveness of ondansetron or its lower efficacy compared to other drugs.

Given this contradiction and lack of comparison between droperidol and ondansetron, we decided to compare the prophylactic effect of the two drugs in patients undergoing cesarean section (C-section).

Methods

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Católica de Pelotas (Ref: 2011/18), and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. This randomized double-blind trial enrolled 180 patients ASA I or II scheduled for C-section, regardless of the cause of obstetric indication. In addition to the refusal to participate in this research, patients were excluded in the following cases: inadequate anesthesia, any itchy skin disease, recent use of opioids or any other drug that causes respiratory depression, hyperemesis, or inability to answer questions clearly.

Upon arrival at the operating room, patients received an infusion of Ringer’s lactate and 50 mg of fentanyl were intravenously (IV) administered. The total volume of fluid infused during surgery was recorded in three moments: at lumbar puncture; at the child’s birth, and at the end of surgery. Standard monitoring (non-invasive blood pressure, SpO2, and ECG) was established.

Subarachnoid anesthesia was induced via the lateral approach11 with Quincke needle at L2-L3 or L3-L4, using 2 mL of 5% lidocaine hyperbaric solution (100 mg) or 4 mL of 5% bupivacaine hyperbaric solution (20 mg). Two hundred micrograms of morphine was added to the injected anesthetic. The manual displacement of the uterus to the left was established prophylactically and, in case of hypotension (systolic blood pressure 70% of baseline values or below 90 mmHg), improvement in displacement was attempted and/or fractionated doses of metaraminol (0.5 mg each) were given. As the leading cause of hypotension before birth is cava compression, we made a distinction between its incidence before birth (initial hypotension), treated with metaraminol only if persisting after improving the manual displacement, and hypotension after child birth (final hypotension), which has the same pathophysiology of hypotension from any spinal anesthesia and was treated...
with fractionated doses of metaraminol. Shortly after birth, 15–20 units of oxytocin were used to obtain good uterine contraction. In three cases, 0.2 mg of methylergometrine were used for the same purpose.

The distribution of 180 participants in three groups of 60 patients was performed using a table of random numbers. According to this allocation table, immediately after birth, the patients in Group I received metoclopramide (10 mg); patients in Group II received droperidol (2.5 mg); and patients in Group III received ondansetron (8 mg) (Fig. 1). In Group I, metoclopramide was used because it was shown that it has no effect on morphine-induced pruritus, so it can be used to prevent nausea and vomiting and as a placebo for morphine-induced pruritus. Induction of anesthesia and administration of drugs in the operating room were performed by anesthesiologists (FFCB and MLH). In the postoperative period, patients were seen by anesthesiologists unaware to their experimental allocation (APB, IS, MAN, RB, and RA). The patients were also blinded to the treatment received, characterizing the double-blind nature of this study. Patients were evaluated every six hours for a period of 24 h. After this period, they were evaluated twice daily until discharge from hospital. In addition to pruritus, any other adverse effects seen or reported by the patient, even if only in one of the visits, was recorded and considered positive.

Pruritus was classified as absent; mild (restricted to one area, such as face or arms, and not disturbing the patient, sometimes denied and only reported after insistence); moderate (affecting a larger area, such as face and arms or face and anterior surface of the chest, but not disturbing the patient and therefore not requiring treatment) or intensive (extensive or generalized pruritus, often disturbing the patient to the point where treatment is indicated). It was registered according to the highest intensity seen or reported. If treatment was necessary, droperidol 1.25 mg was used intravenously.

Based on previous studies, we estimate that the incidence of moderate or severe pruritus should be 30% in the control group, and that an effective intervention would reduce the incidence by 60%. The sample size calculation estimated 60 patients per group for a significance level of 95% and a power of 80%.

For data analysis, we used logistic regression to estimate the trend of moderate or severe pruritus and the proportional trend model to estimate the tendency to present a more severe pruritus. In ordinal regression, the proportional model was used to estimate the odds ratio and the presumption of proportional odds was assessed using Brant test. NNT evaluation was based on the incidence of moderate or severe pruritus.

**Results**

Table 1 shows that the distribution of some basic characteristics (age, weight, height, BMI, fasting time, number of previous C-sections, and incidence of postoperative nausea or vomiting) was similar between groups. There was no difference between groups in fluid replacement volume or proportion of patients who received treatment for hypotension.

Table 2 shows that the proportion of subjects who reported the occurrence of pruritus or the occurrence of mild pruritus was higher among patients in droperidol group.
Moreover, the incidence of severe pruritus was lower in women assigned to receive droperidol.

Table 3 shows that the tendency to present with a stronger form of pruritus was lower among patients assigned to receive droperidol. The tendency to present with a stronger form of pruritus was 0.45 (95% CI: 0.23–0.88) for patients receiving droperidol compared with those in the metoclopramide group. However, ondansetron group was similar to metoclopramide group. In another approach, we also evaluated the tendency to present with moderate or severe pruritus, using logistic regression. The results of this analysis were similar to those observed in ordinal regression, with patients assigned to receive droperidol presenting less tendency to have moderate or severe pruritus [odds ratio 0.35 (95% CI, 0.16–0.74)].

The NNT for droperidol was 4.0, while that for ondansetron was 14.7.

Discussion

Our results show that droperidol was more effective than metoclopramide and ondansetron both when we approached the trend toward moderate or severe pruritus or when the severity of pruritus was the approach point.

There are some possible explanations for the differences in our results and those reported in the literature. First, opioids are different in their pharmacokinetics, and morphine has a very long action when administered by the subarachnoid route. Therefore, it is very difficult to compare fentanyl or sufentanil with morphine. Another difference is that the incidence of pruritus in C-section is higher than in other surgeries.

Regarding the safety of the use of droperidol, there are reports of arrhythmias, but it was not seen in our previous investigation, when we use 1.25 mg of droperidol in 60 patients, neither in this study with the dose of 2.5 mg. In any case, it seems interesting to use lower doses of droperidol in order to study its effectiveness.

In summary, our study shows that ondansetron does not inhibit subarachnoid morphine-induced pruritus in patients undergoing C-section. These results, combined with our previous results, allow us to say that droperidol is a satisfactory drug to antagonize the subarachnoid morphine-induced pruritus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Distribution of the basic characteristics of the three groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Metoclopramide: 27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASA I patients (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fasting time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previous cesarean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume up to anesthesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume up to birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypotension (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Incidence and severity of pruritus in the three groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoclopramide</td>
<td>9 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droperidol</td>
<td>14 (23.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
<td>9 (15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Ordinal and logistic regression of groups 2 and 3 (droperidol and ondansetron, respectively), having group 1 (metoclopramide) as a reference.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ordinal regression - odds ratio (95% confidence interval)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic regression - odds ratio of persisting moderate to severe pruritus (95% confidence interval)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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