Oxygen concentrators performance with nitrous oxide at 50:50 volume
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Abstract

Background and objectives: Few investigations have addressed the safety of oxygen from concentrators for use in anesthesia in association with nitrous oxide. This study evaluated the percent of oxygen from a concentrator in association with nitrous oxide in a semi-closed rebreathing circuit.

Methods: Adult patients undergoing low risk surgery were randomly allocated into two groups, receiving a fresh gas flow of oxygen from concentrators (O293) or of oxygen from concentrators and nitrous oxide (O293N2O). The fraction of inspired oxygen and the percentage of oxygen from fresh gas flow were measured every 10 min. The ratio of FiO2/oxygen concentration delivered was compared at various time intervals and between the groups.

Results: Thirty patients were studied in each group. There was no difference in oxygen from concentrators over time for both groups, but there was a significant improvement in the FiO2 (p < 0.001) for O293 group while a significant decline (p < 0.001) for O293N2O. The FiO2/oxygen ratio varied in both groups, reaching a plateau in the O293 group. Pulse oximetry did not fall below 98.5% in either group.

Conclusion: The FiO2 in the mixture of O293 and nitrous oxide fell during the observation period although oxygen saturation was higher than 98.5% throughout the study. Concentrators can be considered a stable source of oxygen for use during short anesthetic procedures, either pure or in association with nitrous oxide at 50:50 volume.

© 2013 Sociedade Brasileira de Anestesiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda.
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Introduction

The high price of oxygen can encourage the installation of oxygen concentrators. In Brazil a previous investigation suggested that savings related to the use of a concentrator over 10 years in a non-profit hospital reached 19.5 million dollars. The oxygen from concentrators represents a cost of US$ 0.0015 mL−1. It is worth to notice the offering of this system as an oxygen source set up a perfect competition in this market in Brazil and reduced its final price nationwide.

A number of studies using oxygen concentrators with open ventilation systems concluded that the efficacy and reliability of such devices make them a suitable alternative to oxygen cylinders in the developing world. Oxygen concentrators use zeolite molecular sieve technology, which can produce up to 95% pure O₂. Briefly, room air is drawn into the oxygen concentrator through a series of filters to remove dust and bacteria. The concentrator contains two columns of the zeolite molecular sieve within a canister. The sieve adsorbs nitrogen from the air as it is forced through under pressure. The sieve allows O₂ to pass through along with the 0.93% argon present in the air. Synthetic zeolite is used for the production of oxygen. The concentrator has been shown to be reliable and cost effective for providing oxygen in locations where cylinders may not always be available. However, little is known about the association of oxygen from concentrators with nitrous oxide during mechanical ventilation in anesthesia.

This study evaluated the percent of oxygen from a concentrator in association with nitrous oxide for procedures lasting at least 100 min in a semi-closed rebreathing circuit.

Methods

After approval by the Ethics Research Committee of Hospital Geral de Bonsucesso do Ministério da Saúde, city of Rio de Janeiro, adult patients undergoing low risk surgery were invited to participate, gave written informed consent and were randomly allocated into two groups, either receiving a fresh gas flow (FGF) of 1000 mL min−1 oxygen from concentrator (O293) or a FGF of 500 mL min−1 of oxygen from concentrator plus 500 mL min−1 nitrous oxide (O293NO). The only exclusion criterion was the presence of any lung disease. The oxygen used in this investigation was provided by oxygen concentrator connected to medical gas pipeline systems, providing an output pressure of 4.08–5.09 kg cm−2 (Eniplan Ferri – Engenheiros Associados Ferri Lt., COE 2x20 model, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil). Nitrous oxide was provided from gas pipeline system originated from standard tanks.

Patients breathed under a mask with oxygen from concentrator at a flow of 8.0 L min−1 for 3 min in a semi-closed rebreathing circuit. Following this period, anesthesia was induced with sequential intravenous administration of Fen-tanyl 5.0 mcg kg−1, propofol 1.5 mg kg−1 and atracurium 0.5 mg kg−1. After tracheal intubation, a controlled mechanical ventilation system with a CO₂ absorber was used to provide a tidal volume sufficient to maintain exhaled CO₂ between 30 and 35 mmHg. Maintenance of anesthesia was achieved with isoflurane and the FGF of 1 L min−1. One side-stream valve (CardioCap5 – GE Datex-Ohmeda Helsinki, Finlandia) between the inspiratory unidirectional valve and the Y-piece to connect with the patient allowed the inspired and expired fractions of volatile anesthetics, carbon dioxide (ETCO₂), oxygen (FiO₂) and nitrous oxide (FİN₂O) to be monitored, and another side-stream valve (Capnomac – GE Datex-Ohmeda Helsinki, Finlandia), located at the delivery port through which the gases are delivered from the machine to the systems, monitored the percentage of oxygen delivered from concentrator by means of paramagnetic oxygen analysis. The samples derived from these sidestream valves were vented to atmosphere (Fig. 1). The measurements of oxygen ratios in either group were expected to reach stability, considering the consumption of oxygen should be at least 200 mL min−1 throughout the intraoperative period.

The variables studied were the concentration of inspired oxygen, as well as the fraction of inspired oxygen measured every 10 min after intubation until the end of anesthesia. Arterial pressure, heart rate and pulse oximetry values were obtained at the beginning of anesthesia as well as every 10 min until the end of the procedure. The ratio of FiO₂ to oxygen concentration delivered by the concentrator (O293) was compared at intervals between the groups. The results are presented as median and 25–75 percentiles or mean and standard deviation when normality was achieved. Analysis of variance for repeated measures was used to compare data from the different time intervals within groups. Student’s t test was used to compare the age, weight, height and body mass index. Chi-square was used to compare the gender ratio. The hypothesis considered a previous investigation with oxygen concentrator as the sole source and where the mean FiO₂ difference was considered the target difference and the use of a nomogram for calculating sample size give a sample of 25–30 patients. All comparisons were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05. The statistical package Sigma Stat for Windows, version 2.03, SPPS Inc. was used.

Results

Sixty patients of both sexes formed the sample, with 30 in each group, including ASA physical status one and two. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups for gender, age, weight, height or body mass index (Table 1).

The mean values and standard deviations of delivered oxygen concentration and inspired oxygen percent were registered for both groups (Fig. 2). There was no difference in the delivered oxygen concentration from concentrator over time (Friedman repeated measures ANOVA, p = 0.084). There was a significant improvement in the FiO₂ (p < 0.001), which was lower at 10 min compared to 40 min and up, and from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Patients’ characteristics.</th>
<th>O293Mean ± SD</th>
<th>O293NOMean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>39.5 ± 18.3</td>
<td>32.9 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>67.5 ± 12.9</td>
<td>70.2 ± 14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg cm⁻²)</td>
<td>23.45 ± 3.71</td>
<td>24.16 ± 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>16/14</td>
<td>16/14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20 compared to 50 min and up (Tukey test, \( p < 0.05 \)). There was also no difference in the delivered oxygen concentration over time for the fresh oxygen flow from concentrator in the group receiving oxygen and nitrous oxide (\( p = 0.153 \)). However, \( \text{FiO}_2 \) showed a significant decline, from 52% at 10 min to 40% at the end of anesthesia (\( p < 0.001 \)). There was no difference within interval 10 throughout 40 min, but from 50 up to 100 min all values registered from 10 to 40 min were significantly different (Tukey, \( p < 0.05 \)).

These measurements reflected in the ratio of fraction inspired and fresh oxygen flow (\( \text{FiO}_2/\text{oxygen flow} \)) in both groups. In the group receiving oxygen from the concentrator (O293) the ratio improved over time (\( p < 0.001 \)). In the group receiving oxygen and nitrous oxide, the \( \text{FiO}_2/\text{oxygen flow} \) ratio decreased over time (\( p < 0.001 \)).

The inhalational anesthetics percentiles were kept at the anesthesiologist discretion and exhaled \( \text{CO}_2 \) were kept within the expected margins, 32.47 \( \pm \) 1.59 mmHg in the O293 group, and 32.63 \( \pm \) 1.69 mmHg in the O293NO group.

Pulse oximetry was lower before the induction of anesthesia and values differed between groups (97.7 \( \pm \) 1.2 vs. 99.1 \( \pm \) 0.5 for O293NO and O293, respectively; \( p < 0.001 \), Student \( t \)). Following induction the hemoglobin (Hb) saturation did not fall below 98.5% in either group.

### Discussion

This study showed that the \( \text{FiO}_2 \) in the mixture of O293 and \( \text{N}_2 \text{O} \) fell from 52% to 41% during the observation period. Oxygen saturation was higher than 98.5% throughout the study and the ratio of oxygen supplied and inspired became stable over time, but was lower than one.

This investigation confirms stability of oxygen saturation showed by previous studies using oxygen from concentrators in an anesthesia circuit with a carbon dioxide absorber and a FGF of 500 mL per minute.\(^9\) Although a fresh oxygen flow of up to 0.5 L min\(^{-1}\) may result in a significant accumulation of argon compared to higher oxygen flows of 1.0 and 2.0 L per minute,\(^10\) this study suggests that both \( \text{FiO}_2 \) and Hb saturation are stable over time when using a oxygen flow equal to or greater than 0.5 L min\(^{-1}\) during general anesthesia of healthy adults in semi-closed rebreathing circuit system.

The association of oxygen from a concentrator and nitrous oxide has previously been studied under low FGF and it was suggested that the fraction of argon gas was small and prone to falling between 60 and 120 min but that the nitrous oxide concentration increased.\(^10\) A mixture composed of 33% oxygen could threaten the final concentration due to the accumulation of argon.\(^8\) An adjusted inspiratory oxygen concentration of 35–70% in a fresh gas flow
Oxygen concentrators provide a consistent and less expensive source of oxygen in health facilities where power supplies are reliable. The threat of a hypoxic mixture arising from accumulation of argon should be guarded against using an oxygen analyzer in the inspired gas circuit, as well as a pulse oximetry.

The FiO\textsubscript{2} in the mixture of O\textsubscript{2}93 and nitrous oxide fell during the observation period although oxygen saturation was higher than 98.5% throughout the study. Concentrators can be considered a stable source of oxygen for use during short anesthetic procedures, either pure or in association with nitrous oxide at 50:50 volume.
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